

CTSA Program Steering Committee

June 26, 2023

2:30 – 3:30pm ET





Agenda June 26, 2022

Time	Topic	Speaker(s)
2:30 – 2:35pm ET	Welcome	Michael Kurilla Duane Mitchell Joni Rutter
2:35 – 3:15pm ET	Cycle 10 Working Group Proposals: Discussion and Voting	Michael Kurilla assisted by Cindy Mark CCOS Logistics Team
3:15 – 3:30pm ET	Pod Feedback (if time allows)	Erica Rosemond
3:30pm ET	Adjourn	





Review & Vote Cycle 10 Working Group Submissions

Michael Kurilla

Cindy Mark
CCOS Logistics Team





In-Meeting Voting Process

There are three to four slides for each working group proposal, displaying the proposed purpose, goals and deliverables of the working group; charts demonstrating the overall recommendations and feedback by category; and the positive comments and constructive criticism offered during the review process.

Please take a moment to read each slide.

There will be time for approximately five (5) minutes of discussion per proposal, if necessary.

Voting will take place via Poll Everywhere. Only voting members of the Steering Committee may participate in the voting process.





Pod Feedback

Erica Rosemond



Date Received: May 23, 2023

Pod Lead: Karen Johnston, University of Virginia

Pod Membership: New York School of Medicine, Yale, Georgetown, VCU, UMass

Pod Meeting Summary Report:

 Steve Reis and Bob Toto presented a summary of ENACT. Informatics leaders from hub sites joined the call.

- POD members raised questions about the need for support at the individual hub/site level. Types of support needed:
 - Technical expertise
 - Maintenance of site level infrastructure (hardware and software and personnel)
 - Site champions for dissemination and investigator training/on-boarding at the site level (building capacity)
- We discussed potential funding models for sites for the resources required
 - ENACT team communicated that the current expectation is that CTSA hub-funded informatics teams are funded through their CTSA hub award to do this work.
 - Further discussion suggested that sites will potentially need to prioritize this work over other planned informatics work at their sites.
 - Pod members expressed surprise that this is an expectation and concern that this may not be a viable plan. Many asked "What do I take out of my planned informatics activities in order to do this?"
 - There was a mention that potentially hospitals might fund these informatics efforts.
 - The pod felt strongly that their local hospitals would not support such an approach.
 - The suggestion was made that a "value add" proposition would be needed to help sites appropriately prioritize their own limited resources or seek additional funding from their institutions.



Date Received: May 23, 2023

Pod Lead: Karen Johnston, University of Virginia

Pod Membership: New York School of Medicine, Yale, Georgetown, VCU, UMass

Suggestions:

- ENACT to prepare a "value add" summary for hubs to use to help institutions/hubs prioritize their own resources. Recognizing that some hubs represent multiple institutions which introduces additional considerations for resource needs.
- Sites need a table/summary document to help them understand the features, strengths, limitations of the various informatics platforms (N3C, ENACT, TriNetX, OHDSI, PCORNet, and COSMOS).
 - Could this be an action item for the new Steering Committee Task Force?
- Suggestion made that the CTSA could create/fund a single team to train and build capacity across the consortium for all the existing platforms.
 - Could this be a central resource funded outside of individual hubs and serve as a consultative service for all hubs?









July 10th, 2023 2:30-3:30 PM

Adjourn

